Acromioclavicular joint (ACJ) injuries are common, but their incidence is probably underestimated. As the treatment of some sub-types is still debated, we reviewed the available literature to obtain an overview of current management.

We analysed the literature using the PubMed search engine.

There is consensus on the treatment of Rockwood type I and type II lesions and for high-grade injuries of types IV, V and VI. The treatment of type III injuries remains controversial, as none of the studies has proven a significant benefit of one procedure when compared with another.

Several approaches can be considered in reaching a valid solution for treating ACJ lesions. The final outcome is affected by both vertical and horizontal post-operative ACJ stability. Synthetic devices, positioned using early open or arthroscopic procedures, are the main choice for young people.

Type III injuries should be managed surgically only in cases with high-demand sporting or working activities.
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Acromioclavicular (AC) injuries are common, with an unknown real incidence because a relevant percentage of affected patients do not seek medical treatment. A significantly increased risk of developing these types of lesions has been demonstrated in athletes participating in contact sports (e.g. horse-riding, soccer, rugby, hockey, and martial arts).

The AC joint is a diarthrodial link stabilised by several ligaments. The AC capsular ligaments provide most of the joint stability in the anteroposterior direction. The coracoclavicular (CC) ligaments, on the contrary, provide vertical stability.

The mechanism most commonly involved in AC and CC ligament injuries is a direct force applied on the superior surface of the acromion; it is usually the consequence of a fall with the arm in an adducted position. Less frequently, an indirect force may be transmitted to the shoulder because of a fall on an outstretched hand or elbow.

Finally, epiphyseal separation with an AC ligament sprain in children and young adults, as well as distal clavicle fractures, can lead to CC dislocation on radiographs; these are called “AC joint pseudo-dislocations”.

Classification and diagnosis

Several classifications have been proposed to describe AC joint injuries. According to Tossy et al and Allman, type I lesions show a well-aligned joint with strained ligaments. Type II lesions are characterised by a complete rupture of the AC ligaments and a strain of the CC ligaments resulting in a displacement of the end of the clavicle of <100% of the depth of the AC joint. In Type III lesions both AC and CC ligaments tear with a clavicular displacement >100%.

Rockwood et al add in their classification three additional types of injury: type IV lesions, described as a type III lesion associated with a posterior displacement of the clavicle through the trapezius; type V lesions, which include an important AC joint displacement with deltoid and trapezius muscles detachment; and finally type VI lesions, where the clavicle is displaced under the coracoid process.

AC joint injuries should be suspected in any patient who has sustained a shoulder trauma. Physical and radiographic examinations are essential.
Management

The management of AC lesions is based on minimal intervention, either surgical or non-surgical,2 aiming to restore both vertical and horizontal stability and a stable joint.11

There is a general consensus for non-operative treatment of Rockwood type I and II lesions.12,13

Currently, rather than ice and oral analgesic medication, the most accepted conservative treatment is sling immobilisation for a brief period.13 The patient is encouraged to start range of motion activities within the first week and then strengthening exercises with a specific focus on scapular stabilisation. During this time, heavy lifting and contact sports must be avoided to allow ligament healing.7

Surgical treatment, mostly used in chronic injuries, is based on CC fixation14 and/or ligament repair15,16 and usually reflects individual patient demands. Surgery can allow high-demand sport players or manual workers a quicker return to activities and limits the duration of painful disability.

Although non-surgical treatment is recommended for type I and type II injuries,12,13 Mouhsine et al17 reported chronic AC symptoms in 27% of patients treated conservatively after an average 26 months since the traumatic event. The most concerning sequelae of lower-energy injuries are chronic instability and the late development of AC joint osteoarthritis, which occur in about 50% of patients.18 When symptomatic, these conditions can be treated by steroid injection or distal clavicle resection, as described by Mumford.19

The treatment of Type III injuries is controversial. A meta-analysis by Phillips et al20 described a satisfactory outcome in more than 85% of patients, whether the management of these lesions was non-surgical or surgical; furthermore, surgery seldom allows a quick return to activity. A recent retrospective study comparing 24 patients treated surgically with a hook-plate and 17 managed conservatively showed, after median follow-up of 34 months, a significantly better functional outcome following operative compared with non-operative management.21

Wojtys and Nelson22 suggest a treatment strategy based on the levels of shoulder strength or endurance, underlying the point that, in case of non-invasive management, a proper and adequate rehabilitation is critical to obtain good results.22-24

High-grade injuries (type IV, V and VI) are often treated surgically even though no long-term differences between surgical and non-surgical treatment have been reported.25

Surgical treatment should focus on AC joint reduction and fixation, and delto-trapezoidal fascia and CC ligaments repair or reconstruction. A variety of operative techniques have been developed over the years: some of them are focused on ligament healing, other techniques are focused on its reconstruction. The former techniques cannot be applied in cases of chronic lesions, while the latter are specifically indicated for high-grade dislocations and chronic injuries.26

Surgical procedures include the use of pin and tension bands, plates and screws, loops, biological or synthetic transfers with different techniques, all aiming to recover and correct AC anatomy.

Historically, the primary AC joint fixation consisted of pin fixation using Kirschner-wires (K-wires) after reduction; this method was abandoned because of the development of severe complications including pin migration, with potential damage to nerves, vessels and spinal canal structures.27,28 In addition, functional and clinical results were generally poor, requiring a large exposure, and soft tissue damage and osteoarthritis developed in a significant percentage of patients.

Leidel et al29 described 70 cases of temporary unthreaded K-wire fixations of acute AC joint dislocations of Rockwood type III with the suture of the CC and AC ligaments and delto-trapezoidal fascia. The clinical results after one to two years (short-term), three to five years (mid-term) and six to ten years (long-term) did not differ significantly, with an overall complication rate of 15%, including K-wire migration in 4% and AC joint recurrent dislocation in 11%.

The hook-plate is a commonly used alternative technique. After an open AC joint reduction, the plate is inserted deep to the acromion and superficially to the lateral clavicle to maintain alignment. The screws secure the plate to the clavicle restoring a correct CC distance. Some authors combine this procedure with ligament reconstruction.30,31 Good functional results have been reported even if a secondary widening of the hook holes has been observed in a high number of subjects, as well as diastasis and re-dislocation of the AC joint.32 Furthermore, a second surgical procedure to remove the hook plate is recommended because the plate can cause implant failure, joint damage and osteolysis at the inferior surface of the acromion, and/or subacromial impingement.33

Kienast et al34 report good and excellent results in 84% of 225 patients treated for an acute AC joint dislocation types III to V implanting an AC hook plate, with a complication rate of 10.6%. Di Francesco et al35 reported a redislocation rate of 12% in a group of 42 patients treated with the same surgery without CC ligament repair.

Gsstettner et al21 mentioned significantly better results for surgical treatment using the hook-plate after a mean follow-up of three years in a retrospective study comparing non-operative and operative treatments in AC joint dislocations type III.

The use of a Bosworth screw25 is a percutaneous procedure to obtain CC fixation. The potential disadvantages are incorrect placement, screw breakage and
Table 1. Results of different surgical procedures for acromioclavicular (AC) lesion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Yr</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Rockwood type</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Results, n (%)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pavlik et al³⁷</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Chronic III</td>
<td>Modified Weaver-Dunn + no lateral clavicle resection + screw</td>
<td>11 (65) excellent subjectively</td>
<td>1 screw loosening and partial loss of reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam and Farouk³⁸</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Symptomatic III or more</td>
<td>Weaver-Dunn + deltortrapezius imbrication over the top + tension band</td>
<td>8 (57) excellent results</td>
<td>1 loosening of the temporary fixation with clavicle subluxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeon et al⁴¹</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Chronic III-V</td>
<td>Artificial ligament made from braided polyester</td>
<td>9 (82) satisfied</td>
<td>1 fracture of the base of the coracoid in the early post-operative period; 2 additional operations (lateral end of the clavicle excision with screw removal; subacromial decompression)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millett et al⁴⁴</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Symptomatic III or acute IV-V</td>
<td>Weaver-Dunn with intramedullary tensioning</td>
<td>16 (94) maintain reduction without pain</td>
<td>1 (6%) recurrent dislocation returning to sport 3 mths post-operatively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boileau et al⁴⁵</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chronic III-IV</td>
<td>Modified Weaver-Dunn with 2 titanium buttons and heavy suture</td>
<td>10 (100) pain relief and cosmetic satisfaction, 9 (90) returned to previous sports</td>
<td>1 superficial infection of the superior (clavicular) portal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al⁴⁹</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Chronic V</td>
<td>Weaver-Dunn + lateral half conjoined tendon</td>
<td>11 (92) excellent results</td>
<td>8 mild radiographic AC joint arthrosis; 2 heterotopic ossification of the CC space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further surgical procedures needed to remove the device. Rockwood et al³⁷ recommend combining CC screws with ligament repair in acute cases of AC dislocation because the screw device serves as a temporary fixation to let the ligament heal.²¹

In a recent study, Assaghir¹⁶ reported good to excellent long-term outcomes, after an average follow-up of 74.6 months, in 56 patients undergoing combined extra- and intra-articular ligament repair for AC joint dislocations types III to V under rigid CC protection using a lag screw.

In the early 1970s, Weaver and Dunn¹⁵ first described an open procedure using the native coracoacromial (CA) ligament to re-establish AC joint stability. After the resection of the lateral end of the clavicle, the CA ligament is detached from the deep surface of the acromion with or without a chip of bone and then transferred to the clavicle. A suture loop augmentation between the coracoid and the clavicle can be added to protect the transferred ligament from excessive loads during healing, allowing earlier rehabilitation and improving load to failure and stiffness (modified Weaver-Dunn procedure). Since then, many other surgical techniques have been developed with controversial results (Table 1).

Pavlik et al³⁷ reported a study in 2001 of 17 patients with chronic AC instability treated with a modified Weaver-Dunn procedure without lateral clavicular end resection and a Bosworth CC screw to protect the graft postoperatively for eight weeks. After a median follow-up of 37 months, 11 patients were subjectively satisfied and nine showed a radiographical anatomical reduction.

Adam and Farouk³⁸ published a study in 2004 on 14 patients surgically treated for symptomatic complete dislocation of the AC joint. The surgical procedure included a Weaver-Dunn reconstruction, imbrication of the deltortrapezius aponeurosis over the top of the distal clavicle and a temporary joint stabilisation with a tension band between the clavicle and the acromion. After an average of 20 months, only eight patients obtained excellent results.

In 2001, Wolf and Pennington³⁹ described for the first time the arthroscopic CC stabilisation using polyethylene wire cerclages, whilst in 2005 Lafosse et al⁴⁰ presented the Weaver-Dunn arthroscopic technique with satisfactory reduction and results.

In 2007, Jeon et al⁴¹ published a study in which 11 patients affected by chronic AC disruption were treated with an artificial CC ligament made from braided polyester (the Nottingham ‘Surgilig’ or ‘LockDown’ device). The ligament had a loop at each end and was passed around the coracoid process, threaded through itself, then passed around the posterior aspect of the clavicle and finally anchored to it with a bone screw. The authors concluded that it was a useful alternative for the treatment of chronic AC separation, especially in revision reconstruction when the CC ligament was no longer available.

Hosseini et al⁴² first described in 2009 an arthroscopic technique for chronic AC joint dislocations with CA ligament transposition and augmentation with the ‘TightRope’ device (Arthrex, Naples, United States) (Fig. 1). Two titanium buttons are connected by a FiberWire suture (Arthrex) and can be introduced through a drill hole using a special guiding device. After the inferior subcoracoidal button has been flipped, the TightRope is secured tying a knot onto the clavicle. Although technically demanding, the authors concluded that it was a safe method to reconstruct the CC ligaments allowing a sufficient reduction of the clavicle without the need for further implant removal or autologous tendon transplantation. The principle of the TightRope stabilisation can be applied in a mini-open technique as well. The two main advantages of the mini-open technique are first, a good overview on to the
coracoid base and second, the possibility of an adequate reconstruction of the delto-trapezoidal fascia. The MINAR (Minimal Invasive Ac joint Reconstruction) system (Karl Storz, Tuttlingen, Germany) is a different implant working according to the same double-button principle.43

Millett et al44 published, in the same year, a study of 17 patients surgically treated for symptomatic type III AC joint or acute type IV and V injuries. The distal clavicle was resected and stabilised with CC ligament reconstruction using the CA ligament. The CA ligament was passed into the medullary canal and tensioned. At an average follow-up of 29 months, 94% of patients maintained reduction with a complete improvement in pain.

Boileau et al45 reported, in 2010, a novel technique to repair arthroscopically a symptomatic chronic and complete AC joint dislocation (Rockwood type III or IV). Transferring the CA ligament with a bone block in the distal clavicle and fixing it with two titanium buttons connected by a heavy suture in a four-strand configuration (Double-Button fixation; Smith & nephew Endoscopy, Andover, Massachusetts), the authors could achieve a good and strong healing.

Recent biomechanical46 and clinical12 data prove that anatomical CC ligament reconstruction using autologous semitendinosus tendon is superior to the Weaver–Dunn procedure. Arthroscopic techniques provide an advantage over open techniques in reducing surgical morbidity and surgical site infection. On the other hand, loss of reduction or recurrence are the most frequent complications following arthroscopic reconstructions with reported failure rates of 50% or greater. Similar functional outcomes have been reported in arthroscopic and open techniques.47,48 Kim et al49 introduced in 2012 the results of CA ligament and lateral half-conjoined tendon transfer for chronic type V injuries. The results were promising and the half-conjoined tendon transfer represented an advantage avoiding distant donor site morbidities and the costs of an allograft tendon or a synthetic implant. In their 2013 study, von Heideken et al50 compared Rockwood type V injuries receiving immediate or delayed treatment. The first group scored better in function, disability, pain and satisfaction, and the main issues were more difficult to achieve with delayed surgery.51

Complications following surgical treatment of AC joint dislocations are generally specific for each technique. Hardware failure and migration resulting in injury to the great vessels as well as aseptic foreign body reaction or infection may occur after the use of implants and synthetic sutures.52 Surgical dissection, clavicle drilling or screws may disturb blood perfusion in bone, leading to significant osteolysis. As a direct consequence, early or late fractures of the coracoid process or clavicle have been observed.12 In addition, any technique that passes a graft or synthetic material medial to the coracoid process poses a potential risk to the brachial plexus and the axillary artery.52

Both the conservative and surgical treatments seem to offer excellent clinical results. The more rapid return to athletic activities following conservative treatment could represent a short-term advantage because these patients can develop persistent pain, discomfort or functional dissatisfaction. Acute lesions of young people with long-term, high-demand sport or recreational activities can therefore be treated surgically.51,53-54

Different surgical procedures have been described to treat acute and chronic AC joint dislocations, but there is still no gold standard treatment. Biomechanical considerations could help in the choice of surgery type but there is no evidence that an acute treatment can restore the previous anatomy.

Vertical and horizontal post-operative AC joint stability10 are the main factors affecting final outcome; in fact, the best results are recorded in patients with completely stable joints.11 Although the synthetic graft is effective from a biomechanical standpoint, graft shredding, wear and bone remodelling around the screws can compromise mechanical strength over time, particularly in elderly patients and in those with poor clavicle bone thickness or osteoporosis.11

From a biological point of view, the surgical treatment of an acute lesion mainly offers a guide for the remnant fibres of the torn ligament to be correctly aligned and heal along the neo-ligament.53-55 Biological grafts provide joint stability in the axial and the coronal planes through sutures of their lateral stump to the acromion and are a valuable option when treating patients with post-operative recurrent dislocation due to synthetic graft failure.11,12,46

AC injuries are common lesions whose management is directly related to the type of damage. Whilst overall the literature is concordant as to the treatment of some
sub-types, the ideal approach to type III lesions is currently under debate. In addition, despite the availability of a large number of techniques, the best surgical management of AC joint lesions has still to be defined.

The authors’ final suggestion is to surgically treat young people with high-grade AC dislocations in the early stages using synthetic devices with open or arthroscopic procedures aiming to obtain a stable joint. A mini-open technique permits a good overview on to the base of the coracoid and allows an adequate reconstruction of the delto-trapezoidal fascia. Type III injuries should be surgically managed only in patients with high-demand sport or working activities.
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